The role of angioplasty and stenting in carotid revascularization

Aaron W. Grossman MD PhD

Vascular and Interventional Neurology

University of Cincinnati

Disclosures

• Speaker fees - Cordis

Acknowledgements

- NeuroIR / NSGY Colleagues:
 - Charles Prestigiacomo MD
 - Peyman Shirani MD
 - Matthew Smith MD
 - Norberto Andaluz MD
 - Mario Zuccarello MD
- Vascular Neurology Colleagues

Abbreviations

- CEA carotid endarterectomy
- tf-CAS trans-femoral carotid artery stenting
- TCAR Trans-Carotid Artery Revascularization
- MMT Maximal medical therapy
- ICA Internal Carotid Artery
- CCA Common Carotid Artery
- DAPT Dual antiplatelet therapy

My limited experience with CAS

- 59 elective stents
 - 76% Symptomatic
 - 24% Asymptomatic
- 29 stents during acute stroke thrombectomy



- 5-10 Covered / balloon mounted stents for carotid blow-out
- Numerous intracranial stents for cervical carotid dissection

A	nnual center carotid stent procedures
	Low (0-14)
<	Medium (15-66)
	High (67-210)

What you do is critically important!

~41,000 strokes / year in USA



Carotid Stenosis

~18,000 strokes / year



Carotid Occlusion

Flaherty et al (2013) Neuroepidemiology

Patient MC

- 77yo M with HTN, HL
- Presented to VA with mild right arm weakness
- MRI with watershed pattern of ischemia





High Grade Left ICA Stenosis







Stroke risk has been reduced

>50% risk of stroke over next 5 years

Stent

~4% risk of periprocedural stroke ~2% risk of stroke over next 4 yrs

Continues to recover from his initial mild stroke

No bleeding on dual antiplatelet therapy

No strokes since his stent





What makes a stenotic carotid "symptomatic"?



TIA or "almost stroke"



NYP Health Matters

What makes a stenotic carotid "symptomatic"?



Amaurosis Fugax "TIA of the eye"



Central Retinal Artery Occlusion "Stroke of the eye"

EyeRounds.org Miano (2022) CRCM

DaiWai Olson





CEA versus MMT in symptomatic patients (ECST, NASCET, VA 309)



CEA versus MMT in symptomatic patients (ECST, NASCET, VA 309)

- Benefit of CEA depends on degree of stenosis
 - < 30% = Harmful

30-49% = No benefit

50-69% = Some Benefit

70-99% = Significant Benefit

- Interesting Subgroups:
 - Greater benefit if >75yo
 - Less benefit in women with 50-69% stenosis
 - More benefit if performed early



Even more granular / personalized risk assessment

Patient MC



Rothwell et al (2005) Lancet





So you've decided to revascularize... Carotid Angioplasty & Stenting versus CEA





So you've decided to revascularize...

Carotid Angioplasty & Stenting versus CEA



- Low rates of recurrent stroke after intervention
- No statistical difference between CAS and CEA (risk of stroke, death or myocardial infarction)

Adverse event rates in CREST

- More heart attacks after CEA (2.3%) than after CAS (1.1%)
- More strokes after CAS (2.9%) than after CEA (1.4%)

CAS only approved (initially) for patients too high risk for CEA





What makes a patient too high risk for CEA?

- Medical comorbidities with high anesthesia risk (i.e. heart failure, unstable angina, recent MI, COPD, advanced age)
- Contralateral occlusion (+/- critical stenosis, incomplete Circle of Willis)
- Anatomically unfavorable (i.e. high or low bifurcation, "kissing" retropharyngeal carotids, tortuosity)
- Prior CEA with re-stenosis
- Prior neck radiation



• Contra-lateral vocal cord paralysis





Trans-Carotid Revascularization



Trends in Carotid Revascularization (**Total** cases up to 2019)



Stonko et al (2022) Surgery

Trends in Carotid Revascularization (**High Risk** cases up to 2019)



Stonko et al (2022) Surgery

But is TCAR really better than CEA or CAS?



 All TCAR data is collected in Vascular Quality Initiative – TCAR Surveillance Project (VQI-TSP).

Schernerhorn et al (2019) JAMA

- Compared well-matched patients with TCAR (n = 3285) to patients with tf-CAS (n = 3285)
- In-hospital stroke or death
- Stroke or Death at 1 month and 1 year
- Stroke ... Death ... MI ... TIA

But is TCAR really better than CEA or CAS?

Outcome (1y)	TCAR (%)	tf-CAS (%)
Stroke or Death	1.6	3.1
Stroke	1.3	2.4
Death	0.4	1.0

- Differences significant only for symptomatic patients.
- No difference in Stroke / Death for asymptomatic patients.

 All TCAR data is collected in Vascular Quality Initiative – TCAR Surveillance Project (VQI-TSP).

Schernerhorn et al (2019) JAMA

- Compared well-matched patients with TCAR (n = 3285) to patients with tf-CAS (n = 3285)
- In-hospital stroke or death
- Stroke or Death at 1 month and 1 year
- Stroke ... Death ... MI ... TIA

Still no randomized trials of TCAR vs tf-CAS





High risk features for tf-CAS

- Poor vascular access
- Heavily calcified or Type III aortic arch



- Circumferential calcification incr. thrombotic risk / can't widen lumen
- Bleeding disorder (may not tolerate DAPT)
- Intraluminal thrombus along lesion (high risk for CEA and TCAR as well)





Too High risk for TCAR??

- Previously radiated neck (still need to do a cut down)
- Short distance between clavicle and carotid bifurcation
- Bleeding disorder (may not tolerate DAPT)





Multidisciplinary approach is best

- Weekly Multidisciplinary Cerebrovascular Case Conference
- Virtually every elective case is discussed (carotid, aneurysm, AVM)
- Interventional Neurology
- Endovascular Neurosurgery
- Open vascular Neurosurgery
- Vascular Neurology
- Fellows, Residents, APPs







Patient MB

- 78yo F with HTN
- Completely asymptomatic
 - No Stroke / TIA, no Amaurosis
- Severe focal sub-occlusive stenosis up to 90% by CTA
- Short distance btw clavicle and bifurcation (No TCAR)







Before







ACST – 1 Trial

- 3120 asymptomatic pts (years 1993-2003)
- "Generally >60% stenosis"
- Randomized to 'immediate CEA' versus 'deferred CEA' until symptoms developed or patient / MD changed their mind
- Patients followed for 10y for "any stroke / perioperative death"



Lancet 2010; 376: 1074-84

Perioperative events/CEAs (%)+other events

Medical stroke risk reduction improved



Improvements in medical therapy continue to reduce stroke risk



Hackam (2021) Stroke

I don't rush into treating asymptomatic patients

- Medical treatments for stroke are quite effective
- Risk / Benefit ratio in asymptomatic patients is less favorable
- Monitor for worsening with serial imaging
- MRI Brain to look for asymmetric sub-clinical ischemia

EXCEPTION: Post-radiation vasculopathy – poor natural history





ACST-2 Clinical Trial

- 3625 asymptomatic patients, "severe unilateral or bilateral carotid stenosis (generally 60% or higher)"
- Randomized to CAS vs CEA
- No significant difference between CEA and CAS



Lancet 2021; 398: 1065-73



- 1. Expanding coverage to individuals previously only eligible for coverage in clinical trials;
- Expanding coverage to standard surgical risk individuals by removing the limitation of coverage to only high surgical risk individuals;

Changes to Algorithm after CMS National Decision Memo



Now able to offer CAS to symptomatic patients (50-70%)

- Symptomatic patient, high risk for CEA, discover it's just shy of 70%?
 - Before: STOP \rightarrow MMT / risky CEA

Now: OK to stent

- Intraluminal thrombus along lesion → heparin x7d. Once thrombus resolves, clearly causative lesion: 50-70% stenosis.
 - Before: Push for CEA but if CEA high risk, $STOP \rightarrow MMT$ Now: OK to stent
- We still think CEA best for most patients. Some providers may stent everyone.

Changes to Algorithm after CMS National Decision Memo



We can now offer CAS for standard risk patients

- What CMS covers ≠ what's right for every patient.
- Our preference for CEA > CAS for standard risk patients has NOT changed. Multidisciplinary approach is best
- CAS volume may increase but significant portion of that will be TCAR

Changes to Algorithm after CMS National Decision Memo



Now able to offer CAS for asymptomatic >70% stenosis without enrollment in clinical trial

- No CAS-clinical trial available to us. CREST-2 registry difficult to access.
 - Before: Cleared each non-coverage case with hospital administration. Always
 - Now, OK to stent
- But is it the right thing to do? (what CMS covers ≠ what's right for patient)



Thank you!

Can't wait for our case discussions during breakout sessions